

ECHIQUIER AGENOR SRI MID CAP EUROPE G

AUGUST 2025 (data as of 08/31/2025)



Echiquier Agenor SRI Mid Cap Europe is a bottom up stock-picking fund. It invests in European small and mid-cap growth stocks that are selected mainly for the quality of their Management.



1.459 M€ Net assets



490.16€ NAV

Recommended investment horizon



Fund Managers

Stéphanie Bobtcheff, José Berros

Characteristics

Compartiment de Sicav Type Echiquier Creation of the Sicav 12/04/2012 Indefinite Lifetime Creation of the subfund 02/27/2004 Date of 1st NAV 02/22/2008 FR0010581710 Bloomberg code FCHAGEG FP

FUR Base currency

Income allocation Accumulation

MSCI EUROPE MID CAP NET Def Indic RETURN EUR

SFDR classification Article 8

Financial information

3% max. not acquired by the Entry charge subfund

Exit charge None

Management fees 1.35% incl. taxes

No Performance fee

Swing pricing No (definition on last page)

Min subscription None

Fees as of 03/31/2025

Management fees and 1.36% other administrative and operating expenses

Transaction costs 0.62% Outperformance fees No

Operational information

Valorisation frequency Daily Cut off Settlement D+2

Fund administrator Société Générale Custodian BNP Paribas SA Decimalisation Thousandths

Fund Manager comments

Echiquier Agenor SRI Mid Cap Europe G turned in a negative monthly performance of -1,16% and turned in a positive performance of 3.18% year-to-date.

Eurozone small-cap indices consolidated their gains in August, despite renewed volatility at the end of the month linked to political risk in France. The fund ended the month with slight losses, underperforming its index in a context of strong performance by the 'value' theme, to which the fund has less exposure despite our strengthening of the banking sector since March. Our financial stocks posted the best performances, notably BANCA MEDIOLANUM (+13%) following strong Q2 results. ALK (+4%) and TELE2 (+10%) performed exceptionally well in terms of earnings reports, raising their full-year forecasts. However, this positive news was offset by ROCKWOOL (-16%), which was forced to lower its guidance due to a slowdown in the United States, and QT GROUP (-22%), whose Q2 results were disappointing. PANDORA (-18%) was penalised by a slowdown in growth and continues to suffer from rising silver prices. Following their strong performance, our defence stocks have fallen amid discussions on a peace plan in Ukraine. We are strengthening our position in SPIRAX following an encouraging publication. Conversely, we are exiting MAIRE following the stock's impressive performance (+50% since the beginning of the year).

Evolution of the performance of the fund and its reference indicator since inception



Ref. Indic.: source Bloomberg

Perf. (%)

	Armdaised				Amidansea			
	1 month	YTD	1 year	3 Years	5 Years	10 years	Since inception	
Fund	-1.2	+3.2	-1.5	+3.3	+0.4	+6.2	+6.3	
Ref. Indic.	+1.1	+16.2	+16.3	+13.6	+10.9	+7.7	+7.3	



Past performance is not an indication of future performance. Returns indicated are after management fees but before taxes paid by the investor. The fund's performance and that of the benchmark index are calculated with net coupons reinvested. All fees and commissions are included in the

Until the 12/31/2012, the reference index was FTSE GLOBAL SMALL CAP EUROPE. Then until the 12/31/2021 MSCI EUROPE SM CAP NR. And since the 01/01/2022, MSCI EUROPE MID CAP NET RETURN EUR

Other risk indicators (based on weekly figures)	1 year	3 Years	5 Years	10 years	Since inception
Fund volatility	13.9	15.3	16.3	15.5	16.3
Ref. indicator volatility	13.9	14.6	15.5	17.4	19.0
Sharpe ratio	Neg	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.5
Beta	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8
Correlation	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Information ratio	-3.7	-1.6	-1.4	-0.2	-0.2
Tracking error	4.9	6.4	7.5	8.2	7.9
Max. drawdown of the fund	-17.6	-17.6	-36.4	-36.4	-52.1
Max. drawdown of the benchmark	-13.9	-13.9	-29.8	-41.4	-55.6
Time to recovery (business days)	-	-	-	-	288.0

Risk indicator



Important risk(s) for the fund not taken into account in this risk, guarantees. The risk associated with this fund is not guaranteed and may change over time.

The synthetic risk indicator shows the level of risk of this product compared with others. It indicates the probability that this product will incur losses in the event of market movements or if we are unable to pay you. We have classified the product in risk class 4 out of 7, which is a low to medium risk class. In other words, the potential losses associated with the future performance of the product are low to medium and, if the situation were to deteriorate on the financial markets, it is unlikely that our ability to pay you would be affected.

This indicator represents the risk profile shown in the KID. The risk indicator assumes that you hold the share for 5 years. Warning: the real risk may be very different if you opt to exit before that time, and you may get less in return


Fund Profile

EV/Sales 2025	4.6
PER 2025	23.5
Yield	2.6%
Active share	90.0%

Cash (% of the net assets)	6.9%
Number of positions	44
Average market capitalization (M€)	9,066
Median market capitalization (M€)	8,241
	Source: LFDE


Geographic breakdown

(% of the net assets without cash)




Sector breakdown

(% of the net assets without cash)



Capitalization breakdown (€)

(% of the net assets without cash)




Top holdings

Holdings	Country	Sector	% of the net assets
Diploma	GBR	Industry	4.2
Scout24	DEU	Comm. Serv.	4.1
Beijer Ref B	SWE	Industry	3.7
Spie	FRA	Industry	3.7
Recordati	ITA	Health Care	3.3
ALK Abello	DNK	Health Care	3.2
Euronext	NLD	Financials	3.2
Tele2 B	SWE	Comm. Serv.	3.1
Halma	GBR	IT	3.0
Biomerieux	FRA	Health Care	2.9
Total weight	of the top 10	holdings: 34.4 %	
			Source: LFDE

Currency breakdown

(en % de l'actif)



Source: LFDE

Performance analysis (monthly)

Top 3 contributors				
Holdings	Performance	Contribution		
Tele2 B	11.2	0.4		
Banca Mediolanum	11.5	0.3		
ALK Abello	5.2	0.2		
Weight of the 3 contributors: 9.3%				

Flop 3 contributors					
Holdings	Performance	Contribution			
Rockwool	-15.9	-0.4			
Spie	-8.2	-0.3			
QT Group	-22.2	-0.3			
Weight of the 3 contributors: 7.5 %					

Source: LFDE

GREaT profile of the portfolio

ESG Approach	Selectivity ¹
Selectivity rate ²	25%
Pivotal GREaT score ³	4.86
Lowest GREaT score in the portfolio ⁴	4.89

The GREaT score is based on an ESG analysis methodology proprietary to the LBP AM Group.

The GREaT score scale ranges from 1 to 10, with 10 representing the highest ESG quality of an issuer.

¹ The ESC approach known as "selectivity" excludes from the comparison universe a percentage of issuers - corresponding to the selectivity rate - present on the exclusion lists applicable to the portfolio and/or those with the lowest ratings.

²The selectivity rate is the percentage of the comparison universe excluded for ESG reasons. ³ The pivotal GREaT score is the score of the last issuer excluded from the comparison universe after removing a percentage of issuers corresponding to the selectivity rate. The

portfolio can then invest in any issuer whose GREaT score is higher than the portfolio's pivotal GREaT score.

⁴ The lowest GREaT score in the portfolio must be higher than the pivotal GREaT score. This score may temporarily be lower than the pivotal GREaT score, following the bi-annual score updating campaigns. In this context, management teams have a maximum of one month to bring their portfolios into compliance.

ESG: Environmental, Social and Governance criteria.

To find out more about the composition of the comparison universe, please consult the fund's prospectus.

GREaT methodology

110

Responsible governance

(O)

ustainable **R**esource

Encouraging the dissemination of best practices in corporate governance and business ethics.

Sustainable management of human and natural resources: respect for human rights, development of labor laws, sustainable relations with suppliers and environmental protection.



Energy Transition

#.1 =//

Regional development

Manage climate risks by supporting the transition from a high-carbon economic model to a more sober and sustainable one.

Promote responsible practices that meet social and sustainable development objectives and create value for all stakeholders in the value chain.

	Portfolio	Portfolio coverage	Comparable	Coverage of comparable	Type of comparable	
G - Responsible governance	6.67	98.5%	5.97	99.5%		
R - Sustainable resource management	6.33	98.5%	5.75	99.5%		
E - Energy transition	6.55	98.5%	5.88	99.5%	Comparison universe	
T - Regional development	6.28	98.5%	5.65	98.5%		


As the fund does not make any performance commitments relative to its comparable on the GREaT pillars, the portfolio score may be higher or lower than that of its comparable.

	Portfolio	Portfolio coverage
Qualitative Governance Score* weighted average	В	87.3%

^{*} LFDE has implemented a proprietary methodology for qualitative analysis of corporate governance, which results in a governance rating for issuers, on a scale from A to G - with A representing the best governance quality of an issuer.

Each issuer in the portfolio must undergo such an analysis and achieve a minimum governance score of E. This score complements the quantitative "Responsible Governance" score assigned by the GREaT model.

Breakdown of portfolio and comparison universe by GREaT score



Best GREaT scores in the portfolio and contribution of each pillar to the issuer's GREaT score

Issuer name	Weight in portfolio	GREaT Score	G	R	Е	Т
Klepierre Sa	2.1%	10.00	9.8%	28.1%	35.9%	26.2%
Croda International Plc	1.2%	8.99	31.8%	19.5%	19.5%	29.1%
Redeia Corp Sa	1.4%	8.89	11.7%	34.0%	25.9%	28.5%
Moncler Spa	2.2%	8.86	18.3%	29.7%	16.1%	35.9%
Biomerieux	2.9%	8.39	1.5%	31.1%	15.2%	52.2%

Portfolio sustainability indicator

	Portfolio	Minimum commitment
Percentage of sustainable investment	70.9%	40.0%

According to the European SFDR (Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation), a sustainable investment is an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that these investments do not cause significant harm to either of these objectives and that the companies in which the investments are made apply good governance practices.

The percentage of sustainable investment presented here is based on the LBP AM Group's proprietary methodology, available in full on our website: https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/sfdrmethodologie-investissement-durable.pdf

Indicator 1 - Environment	Portfolio	Comparable
Biodiversity Impact (BIA-GBSTM)	45.00	81.40
Coverage rates	94.9%	66.3%

Indicator 2 - Environment	Portfolio	Comparable
Carbon footprint (scope 1+2+3)	337.40	681.60
Coverage rates	76.2%	62.9%





Indicator methodology 1

Indicator name	Definition	Unit of measurement
Biodiversity Impact (BIA-GBSTM)	GBS: Global Biodiversity Score - estimate of a company's impact on biodiversity, taking into account its historical activity, linked to land artificialisation, overexploitation of resources, pollution and climate change. The higher the score, the greater the company's impact on biodiversity.	MSA.ppb*/Md€ invested

Indicator methodology 2

Indicator name	Definition	Unit of measurement
Carbon footprint (scope 1+2+3)	Measures the CO ₂ emissions attributable to the fund's investments. This indicator is expressed in tCO ₂ per million euros invested and covers all emissions, i.e. scope 1, 2 and 3.	tCO₂/M€ invested

Source(s): MSCI

Source(s): CDC BIO

For more information on the methodologies used to calculate sustainability indicators and on our approach as a responsible investor, please refer to the documents available on our website at the following address: www.lfde.com/fr/investissement-responsable/pour-aller-plus-loin/.

The French government SRI-label is valid for a limited period and is subject to regular re-evaluation. The fact that a sub-fund has been awarded the label does not mean that it does meet your own sustainability objectives or that the label meets the requirements of future national or European regulations

Extra-financial objective of the portfolio

The financial product's SRI management approach consists of identifying the companies with the best sustainable development practices, according to the management company's

This analysis is based on the management company's own GREaT philosophy, articulated around the following 4 pillars:

- Responsible Governance: this pillar aims to assess the organization and effectiveness of powers within issuers (balance of power, executive remuneration, business ethics).
- Sustainable resource management: this pillar examines issuers' environmental impacts and human capital management (quality of working conditions and management of relations with suppliers).
- Economic and energy transition: this pillar assesses issuers' energy transition strategy (greenhouse gas reduction and response to long-term challenges).

• Territorial development: this pillar analyzes issuers' strategy in terms of access to basic services.

Several criteria are identified for each pillar and monitored using indicators collected from extra-financial rating agencies (MSCI ESG Research, Moody's ESG and EthiFinance Ratings). This methodology helps reduce bias, particularly in terms of capital and sector

This quantitative analysis is complemented by a qualitative analysis of issuer governance. LFDE has implemented a proprietary methodology for qualitative analysis of corporate governance, which results in a governance score assigned to issuers. This score complements the quantitative "Responsible Governance" score assigned by the GREaT model. This analysis is based on LFDE's experience and aims to identify issuers where good governance and managerial excellence drive social and environmental initiatives that create value for all stakeholders.

Ultimately, the management company is the sole judge of an issuer's extra-financial quality, which is expressed:

According to a GREaT score between 1 and 10 - 10 representing the best ESG quality of an issuer.

In addition, the management company applies sector and normative exclusions designed to limit investment in issuers with excessive negative impacts. Our sector exclusion lists include certain issuers in controversial sectors such as tobacco, gambling, coal, oil and gas, according to criteria defined by the management company. Our normative exclusion list is constructed on the basis of analyses of ESG controversies or allegations, and identifies cases of severe, systematic and uncorrected violations of ESG rights or infringements. In addition to the management company's common exclusion base, portfolios holding the French government's SRI label comply with the mandatory exclusions listed in its guidelines

Glossary

Bêta

Sensitivity

Lexicon of financial conditions

Mechanism by which the net asset value is adjusted upwards (or downwards) when the change in liabilities is positive (or negative) in order to reduce the cost of Swing pricing portfolio rebalancing to the holders of the fund as a result of changes in liabilities

Lexicon of risk indicators

Volatility Measure of the amplitude of variations in the price of a share, a market or a fund. It is calculated over a given period and is used to assess the regularity of the

performance of a share, market or fund.

Indicator of the (marginal) return obtained per unit of risk taken. Sharpe ratio If the ratio is negative: less profitability than the benchmark

If the ratio is between 0 and 1: outperformance with too much" risk taken.

If the ratio is greater than 1: outperformance that does not come at the cost of "too much" risk."Indicator which corresponds to the fund's sensitivity in relation to

For a beta of less than 1, the fund is likely to fall less than its index; if the beta is greater than 1, the fund is likely to fall more than its index.

Information ratio Synthetic indicator of the effectiveness of the risk/return trade-off. A high indicator means that the fund regularly outperforms its benchmark index. An indicator that compares the fund's volatility with that of its benchmark index. The higher the tracking error, the further the fund's average performance is Tracking error

from its benchmark index.

Max drawdown measures the biggest fall in the value of a portfolio.

days)

Time to recovery (business Recovery time, which corresponds to the time needed for the portfolio to return to its highest level (before the "max drawdown").

Variation in the value of an asset when another factor varies at the same time. For example, the interest-rate sensitivity of a bond corresponds to the variation in its price caused by a rise or fall in interest rates of one basis point (0.01%).

Lexicon of financial analysis

FV/Sales Enterprise valuation ratio: enterprise value/sales

Company valuation ratio: Price Earning Ratio = market capitalisation/net profit.

Consumer discretionary In contrast to basic consumption, it represents all goods and services considered non-essential. **Basic consumption** As opposed to discretionary consumption, it represents goods and services considered essential.

Communication Services This sector includes telecoms network operators and providers of communications and data transmission services

Emerging countries Emerging countries are countries whose economic situation is in the process of development. This growth is calculated on the basis of GDP, new businesses

and infrastructure, and the standard of living and quality of life of the inhabitants.

Commodities A natural resource used in the production of semi-finished or finished products, or as a source of energy

Lexicon of credit analysis

Investment grade bond A bond is said to be "investment grade", i.e. if its financial rating by the rating agencies is higher than BB+.

High vield bond A high-yield bond is one rated below BBB- by the rating agencies.

The average life of its cash flows weighted by their present value. All other things being equal, the higher the duration, the greater the risk.

Yield to worst The worst return a bond can achieve without the issuer defaulting.

Yield (all calls exercised) The yield on a bond includes any call dates incorporated into the bond. These "call" dates correspond to intermediate maturities which give the possibility of

redeeming the bond before its final maturity date

For more information

The SICAV was created on December 4, 2012 for an indefinite period. The subfund was launched on February 27, 2004

This document, which is of a commercial nature, is above all a monthly report on the management and risks of the sub-fund. It is also intended to provide you with simplified information on For further information on the characteristics and costs of this sub-fund, we invite you to read the regulatory documents (prospectus available in English and French and DIC in the official

languages of your country) available free of charge on our website www.lfde.com. Investors or potential investors are informed that they can obtain a summary of their rights in the official language of their country or in English on the Regulatory Information page of the

www.lfde.com company's website or directly via the https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/Droitsdelinvestisseur.pdf Information on withholding tax rates: for distribution units, dividends paid are taxed at 30%. For capitalization units of funds investing more than 10% of their net assets in debt securities.

taxation at 30% on income derived directly or indirectly from the yield on debt securities.

Investors or potential investors may also file a claim in accordance with the procedure laid down by the management company. This information is available in the official language of the Regulatory Information page of English the the management company's website www.lfde.com or on directly https://cdn.lfde.com/upload/partner/Droitsdelinvestisseur.pdf

Finally, the investor's attention is drawn to the fact that the manager or the management company may decide to terminate the marketing agreements for its collective investment schemes in accordance with Article 93a of Directive 2009/65/EC and Article 32a of Directive 2011/61/EU